



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/833,106 04/04/97 SMALL

J 74892MSS

001333
PATENT LEGAL STAFF
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
343 STATE STREET
ROCHESTER NY 14650-2201

WM02/0227

EXAMINER

WHITE, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2612

DATE MAILED:

02/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/833,106	4/4/97	Small	74892MSS

EXAMINER

M. White

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

20

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ken Parulski (3) Wendy Garber
(2) Randy Beckers (4) Mitchell White

Date of Interview 2/14/01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 4

Identification of prior art discussed: Koike et al. (US 5,237,401) & Ring (US 5,754,184)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art was discussed with respect to a camera capable of uploading various printer process information with the camera performing ^{most} the processing necessary for the printer when in a plurality of printers may be used interchangeably. Possible amendments were discussed & will be forthcoming. Koike, as discussed, was a dedicated printer & Ring does not upload any printer parameters.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

M. White
SPE Art Unit 2612